

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,759 11/17/2003		Masanori Takahashi	FS.20125US0A	3373	
20995	7590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER		
	IARTENS OLSON &	KWON	KWON, JOHN		
2040 MAIN S FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	92614	3747			

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/714,759		TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
		John T. Kwon		3747	
The M	IAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover s	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTEN THE MAILIN - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repreply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted by the Office later than three months after the mailing form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however oly within the statutory minim I will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from the Decome ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)⊠ This ad 3)□ Since t	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 /</u> ction is FINAL . 2b) Thinhis application is in condition for allowatin accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final ance except for form	nal matters, pros		e merits is
Disposition of C	claims				
4a) Of t 5)	s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application he above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdrawns) is/are allowed. s) 9-21 is/are rejected. s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration			
Application Pap	ers				
10) The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examination (s) filed on is/are: a) account may not request that any objection to the ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct h or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in ction is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	rledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Co	ts have been receiv ts have been receiv ority documents hav nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a	red. red in Applicatio re been received a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment/=\					
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 ail Date	Pa)-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Newly inserted limitation of "a switch to selectively enable and disable the electronic actuator from opening the air metering device between its maximum and minimum operating condition" (claim 9) does not support in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayron (US 5 803 043). Bayron discloses a device for metering an amount of air flowing through the induction system toward the engine body, the air metering device including a mechanical

Art Unit: 3747

interface and/or an electronic actuator to adjust the air metering device between its maximum and minimum operating conditions, and means for selecting either the mechanical interface or the electronic actuator from the air metering device (See col. 5, lines 50-65). A means for switching the device either mechanical device or the electronic device is taught. In regard to the provision of the plural air metering devices both mechanical or electrical device in an internal combustion engine, it is considered to be an obvious duplication of a known part for its known function because one skilled in this art would have reasonably considered providing more than one air metering device for various reasons such as for use as a backup device in case one device is not working properly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The attorney argues that Bayron fail to teach to use of a switch means for selecting either a mechanical device or an electronic device to control the airflow because Bayron does not have both the mechanical device and the electronic device.

The examiner disagrees because it is not necessary that the prior art references actually suggest, expressly or in so many words, the changes or the possible improvements applicants have made. All that is required to show obviousness is that the applicants make their claimed invention merely by applying knowledge clearly present in the prior art references. Section 103 requires us to presume full knowledge by the inventor of the prior art in the field of their endeavor. In re Winslow, 53 CCPA 1574, 151 USPQ 48, 50-51.

Art Unit: 3747

Accordingly, firstly, Bayron teaches the use of either the mechanical device or the electronic device to control the airflow regardless of the presence of both devices. Secondly, the provision of two devices are merely applying knowledge clearly present in the prior art references. Lastly, a means for selecting/switching either a mechanical or electrical device, it is considered to be an obvious duplication of a known part for its known function because one skilled in this art would have reasonably considered providing more than one air metering device for various reasons such as for use as a backup device in case one device is not working properly.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,759

Art Unit: 3747

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John T. Kwon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4846. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ohn T. Kwon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747

February 1, 2006